

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 MINGO CAI,

8 Plaintiff(s),

Case No. 2:18-CV-1471 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

9 v.

10 SWITCH, INC., et al.,

11 Defendant(s).  
12

13 Presently before the court is the matter of *Cai v. Switch, Inc. et al*, case number 2:18-cv-  
14 01471-JCM-VCF.

15 On September 21, 2018, attorneys Kendall M. Howes, Michele D. Johnson, Andrew Gray,  
16 and Joshua G. Hamilton filed separate verified petitions to practice pro hac vice in the District of  
17 Nevada. (ECF Nos. 34–37).

18 However, pursuant to Local Rule IC 5-1(d), where a filer electronically files a document  
19 containing the signatures of multiple parties or attorneys,

20  
21 the filer must obtain either the original signature or consent to apply the “/s/[name]”  
22 signature of each party or attorney. The filer who files the document with the other  
23 parties’ electronic signatures must maintain, for the duration of the case and any  
subsequent appeal, the document with the original signatures and/or proof that the  
other parties consented to the use of their “/s/[name]” signature.

24 LR IC 5-1(d).

25 In each of the aforementioned verified petitions, the petitioners attached identical signature  
26 pages containing what appear to be the electronic signatures of each of the defendants in this case.  
27 Accordingly, because petitioners have neither obtained the original signatures of each of the  
28

1 parties, nor applied the “/s/[name]” signature format for each of the parties, these verified petitions  
2 fail to comply with the local rules.

3 Further, each of the verified petitions fails to properly format the name and title entry below  
4 the signature line for “Sam Castor.” *See* (ECF Nos. 34–37). The title beneath Sam Castor’s  
5 signature reads “Switch Inc., Title.” *Id.* Should petitioners elect to resubmit their verified petitions  
6 in accordance with the rules, they are instructed to fix this typographical error as well.

7 In accordance with the foregoing, the court denies Howes, Johnson, Gray, and Hamilton’s  
8 verified petitions (ECF Nos. 34–37). *See* LR IA 11-2(h) (“The court may grant or deny a petition  
9 to practice under this rule.”). Petitioners shall file, within ten (10) days of the entry of this order,  
10 a verified petition that fully complies with the applicable local rules. Failure to timely comply will  
11 result in “the striking of any and all documents previously filed by the attorney.” LR IA 11-2(j).

12 Accordingly,

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 DATED September 26, 2018.

15   
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE